

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/846,358	05/02/2001	Yukihiko Nansho	01309.00012	3942		
22907	7590 07/19/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER		
BANNER & WITCOFF			THEIN, MARI	THEIN, MARIA TERESA T		
1001 G STREET N W SUITE 1100 WASHINGTON, DC 20001			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3625	3625		
		DATE MAILED: 07/19/2004	DATE MAILED: 07/19/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/846,358		NANSHO, YUKIH	IIKO			
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Marissa Th	ein	3625	\ \lu\			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	idress			
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR I MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 six (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. CFR 1.136(a). In no even tion. s, a reply within the statute period will apply and will y statute, cause the applic	t, however, may a reply be time ory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)🖾	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	16 April 2004.						
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice u	nder <i>Ex parte Qua</i>	yle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)🖂	Claim(s) 9-16 is/are pending in the applie	cation.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9-16</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election re-	quirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.	•					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[accepted or b)	$ brace$ objected to by the $ hat{l}$	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be	held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the		= : :					
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Not	e the attached Office	Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	oreign priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority doc	uments have been	received.	•				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International I	•		ed in this Nationa	l Stage			
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for	•		ed.				
Attachmen	t(s)							
1) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) 🔲 Interview Summary					
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9		Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate atent Application (PT	·∩-152\			
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO or No(s)/Mail Date		6) Other:	atent Application (PT	0-102)			
S. Patent and T	rademark Office lev. 1-04) O	ffice Action Summary	, Pa	irt of Paper No./Mail [Date 07122004			

Application/Control Number: 09/846,358

Art Unit: 3625

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Applicant's "Amendment" filed on April 16, 2004 has been considered.

Claims 9, 11, 13, and 15 have been amended. Claims 9-16 remain pending in this application.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 9-16 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 9, 11, 13, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,854,746 to Yamamoto et al. in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,591,243 to Grettve et al. Yamamoto discloses a processing system, an output unit and output method comprising:

receiving and storing information from a material supplier regarding
available material based on specification requirements and date of
delivery of material, the specification requirements being presented by a
manufacturer who supplies the commodity to the demander (see at least

- col. 4, lines 42-66; col. 5, lines 21-25; col. 5, lines 45-62; col. 5, line 65 col. 6, line 17; col. 11, line 45 col. 12, line 8; col. 12, lines 16- 32; col. 15, lines 58-63; col. 19, lines 60-67);
- storing and receiving information on available processing corresponding to the specification requirements and time of delivery of the processing (see at least col. 5, lines 65-62; col. 5, line 65 col. 6, line 17; col. 11, lines 45 col. 12, line 8; col. 19, lines 60-67);
- inputting from a demander information on desired material and desired processing for constructing elements constituting a commodity (see at least col. 4, lines 42-66; col. 5, lines 21-25; col. 5, lines 45-62; col. 5, line 65 col. 6, line 17; col. 11, line 45 col. 12, line 8; col. 12, lines 16- 32); and
- the outputting information the available material and the available processing corresponding to the input information on the desired material and the desired processing (see at least col. 4, lines 42-66; col. 5, lines 21-25; col. 5, lines 45-62; col. 5, line 65 col. 6, line 17; col. 11, line 45 col. 12, line 8; col. 12, lines 16-32).

However, Yamamoto does not disclose the general date of delivery of a commodity based on the date of delivery of the material and the time of delivery of the processing. Yamamoto discloses an ordering system which can be made on a process-by-process basis or in accordance with the from of each raw material at each process level by reference to the to the history of the raw material, such as finishing, assembling, etc. factory up to the final raw material

(col. 6, lines 1-6). Grettve, on the other hand, teaches the general date of delivery of a commodity based on the date of delivery of the material and the time of delivery of the processing (see at least Figures 2-3; col. 2, lines 39-46; col. 5, lines 9-34).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the system, method, and unit of Yamamoto, to include the general date of delivery of a commodity based on the date of delivery of the material and the time of delivery of the processing, as taught by Grettve, in order to obtain efficient flow of goods, production scheduling and order processing (Grettve col. 2, lines 40-41).

Claims 10, 12, 14, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,854,746 to Yamamoto et al. and U.S. Patent No. 6,591,243 to Grettve et al. and in further view of the article "Earth-friendly good". The combination of Yamamoto and Grettve substantially discloses the claimed invention, however, the combination does not explicitly disclose the thinned-out woods. However, the combination discloses raw materials (Yamamoto see at least abstract and summary of invention). Yamamoto does not disclose that his raw material is specifically is thinned-out wood. The article "Earth-friendly good" teaches the raw material is thinned-out wood. (See whole article)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the combination of Yamamoto and Grettve, to

include the thinned-out woods, in order to provide an order with reference to the raw material, such as thinned-out woods, attributes so that the raw materials can be made available in amounts just necessary and sufficient for current production needs without the disadvantage of placing an unnecessary order (Yamamoto col. 4, lines 60-67).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marissa Thein whose telephone number is 703-305-5246. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey Smith can be reached on 703-308-3588. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

mtot July 12, 2004

> Jeffrey A. Smith Primary Examiner